UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,956	09/05/2003	Perry Philp	213-043/HRH	7920
1059 7590 05/14/2008 BERESKIN AND PARR 40 KING STREET WEST			EXAMINER	
			MATZEK, MATTHEW D	
BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	CANADA		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/654,956	PHILP ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MATTHEW D. MATZEK	1794				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>MATTHEW D. MATZEK</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>H. Roger Hart</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>02 May 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: all discussed.						
Identification of prior art discussed: all discussed.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the nature of the invention and how the applied art is not in the intended field of its endeavor and asked Examiner how he may amend to better clarify the claimed invention.  Examiner suggested providing additional limitations to the helically wound conduit that can not be incorporated into the applied art inventions.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Arti Singh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17 Examiner's signature, if requi					